UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION MDL NO. 16-2738 (MAS) (RLS) JUDGE MICHAEL A. SHIPP MAG. JUDGE RUKHSANAH L. SINGH

CHARLES HASELTON, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS THE ANTICIPATED PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF ERMA HASELTON, DECEASED,

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Civil Action No.: 3:24-cv-1785

Plaintiff,

DIRECT FILED ACTION

v.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON, INC., ET AL.,

Defendants.

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

The Plaintiff(s) named below file(s) this Short Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial against Defendants named below by and through the undersigned counsel. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint in In re: Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 2738 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short Form Complaint as permitted by Case Management Order No. 1 of this Court.

In addition to those causes of action contained in *Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint*, where certain claims require specific pleadings and/or amendments, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES

Identification of Plaintiff(s)

- 1. Name of individual injured due to the use of talcum powder product(s): <u>Erma Haselton.</u>
- 2. At the time of the filing of the specific case, Plaintiff(s) is/are a citizen of <u>Indian River</u>

 <u>County, FL.</u>
- 3. Consortium Claim(s): The following individual(s) allege damages for loss of consortium: Charles Haselton.
- 4. Survival and/or Wrongful Death Claims:

Name and residence of Decedent Plaintiff when she suffered the talcum powder product(s) related death: Erma Haselton, Vero Beach, FL.

- 5. Plaintiff/Decedent was born in 1929 and died on 04/10/2020.
- 6. Plaintiff is filing this case in a representative capacity as the <u>Spouse and Anticipated</u>

 Personal Representative of the <u>Estate of Erma Haselton</u>.
- 7. As a result of using talcum powder products, Plaintiff/Decedent suffered personal and economic injur(ies) that are alleged to have been caused by the use of the products identified in Paragraph 16 below, but not limited to, the following:

_____ injury to herself

X injury to the person represented

X wrongful death

X survivorship action

X economic loss

X	loss of services
X	loss of consortium
	other:

Identification of Defendants

- Plaintiff(s)/Decedent Plaintiff(s) is/are using the following Defendant(s) (please check all 8. that apply)¹
 - \times Johnson & Johnson
 - \times Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.
 - Imerys Talc America, Inc. ("Imerys Talc")
 - Personal Care Products Council ("PCPC") П

Additional Defendants:

 $|\mathsf{X}|$ Other(s) Defendant(s) (please specify): LLT Management LLC f/k/a LTL Management LLC, Johnson & Johnson Holdco (NA), Inc., Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Kenvue Inc.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

Jurisdiction:

- 9. Jurisdiction in this Short Form Complaint is based on:
 - X Diversity of Citizenship
 - Other (The basis of any additional ground for jurisdiction must be pled in

¹ If additional Counts and/or Counts directed to other Defendants are alleged by the specific Plaintiff(s) as to whom this Short Form Complaint applies, the specific facts supporting these allegations must be pleaded by the Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Defendants against whom they are alleged must be specifically identified on a separate sheet of paper attached to this Short Form Complaint.

sufficient det	ail as required by the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure).
Venue:	
	(s) and Division (if any) in which venue was proper where you might have
	d this Short Form Complaint absent the direct filing Order entered by this
	where remand could be ordered by the Judicial Panel for trial: <u>United States</u>
	for the Southern District of Florida.
	CASE SPECIFIC FACTS
Plaintiff(s) cu	rrently reside(s) in (City, State): Vero Beach, FL.
. ,	f the Plaintiff's/Decedent's diagnosis with a talcum powder product(s) injury,
Plaintiff/Dece	dent resided in (City, State): Vero Beach, FL .
The Plaintiff/I	Decedent was diagnosed with a talcum powder product(s) injury in (City, State):
Vero I	Beach, FL on or about October 2, 2017 (date).
To the best	of Plaintiff's knowledge, Plaintiff/Decedent began using talcum powder
product(s) on	or about the following date: 1942 and continued the use of
talcum powde	er product(s) through about the following date:
The Plaintiff/	Decedent purchased talcum powder product(s) in the following State(s):
Illinois, and N	New York
Plaintiff/Dece	edent used the following talcum powder products
\boxtimes	Johnson & Johnson's Baby Powder
\boxtimes	Shower to Shower

CAUSES OF ACTION

- 17. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* as if fully set forth herein.
- 18. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* are herein adopted by reference by Plaintiff(s):
 - ☐ Count I: Products Liability Strict Liability Failure to Warn (Against Imerys Talc)
 - Count II: Products Liability Strict Liability Failure to Warn (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
 - ☐ Count III: Products Liability Strict Liability Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against Imerys Talc)
 - ✓ Count IV: Products Liability Strict Liability
 Defendant Manufacturer and Design (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
 - Count V: Breach of Express Warranties (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
 - Count VI: Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
 - Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
 - ☐ Count VIII: Negligence (Against Imerys Talc)
 - ☑ Count IX: Negligence (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
 - ☐ Count X: Negligence (Against PCPC)
 - ☐ Count XI: Negligent Misrepresentation (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
 - Count XII: Fraud (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)

- ☐ Count XIII: Fraud (Against PCPC)
- □ Count XV: Fraudulent Concealment (Against Imerys Talc)
- Count XVI: Fraudulent Concealment (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- ☐ Count XVII: Fraudulent Concealment (Against PCPC)
- Count XVIII: Civil Conspiracy (Against All Defendants)
- Count XX: Punitive Damages (Against All Defendants)
- ☐ Count XXII: Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)
- Count XXIII: Survival Action (Against All Defendants)
- Furthermore, Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional theories and/or State Causes of Action against Defendant(s) identified in Paragraph nine (9) above. If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories of recovery, to the extent they require specificity in pleadings, the specific facts and allegations supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

·		_

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and judgment against Defendants of compensatory damages, punitive damages, interest, costs of suit, and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just, and as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint as appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Respectfully Submitted by,

ONDERLAW, LLC

By: /s/ William W. Blair James G. Onder, #38049 William W. Blair, #58196 Stephanie L. Rados, #65117 110 E. Lockwood, 2nd Floor St. Louis, MO 63119 314-963-9000 telephone 314-963-1700 facsimile onder@onderlaw.com blair@onderlaw.com rados@onderlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff(s)